This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,839	06/27/2001	Jorge Ignacio Ciappi	GE-07063	3746	
	7590 05/15/2002				
Mark A. Wurm Lockheed Martin NE ESS			EXAMINER		
			JOHNSON, STEPHEN		
Mark A. Wurm Lockheed Martin NE ESS 9500 Godwin Dr. M5:400/043 Manassas, VA. 20110		00/043	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2002 mm DRS		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/892,839	CIAPPI, JORGE IGNACIO
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
6 3		Stephen M. Johnson	3641
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror o, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed nys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.	<u>June 2001</u> .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	٦.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers	·	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊡ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappı	roved by the Examiner.
:	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applica	tion No
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•	
а	The translation of the foreign language process. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	ceived.
Attachmen	t(s)	- 	
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1-18, the preamble of the claim is inconsistent with the claim body. The preamble is directed to the subcombination "a missile launcher" or "an array of missile launchers" whereas the body of the claim is directed to the combination (a missile launcher in combination with a missile and missile canister) or (an array of missile launchers in combination with a missile and missile canister). This creates confusion as to the intended breadth of the claim language. Applicant is required to clarify whether the claims are intended to be drawn to the combination or subcombination and to amend the claims consistent with the intent.

In claims 4, 12, 16, and 18, use of the term "Mk 25" makes the claim indefinite as to what types of missiles and missile canisters are intended to be included or excluded by this terminology. In claim 13, line 6, how is the term "a deck" intended to relate to the previously claimed 'deck' (see claim 8, line 6)? In claim 14, line 6, use of the phrase "like missile launcher" makes the claim indefinite as to how closely related to a missile launcher the structure must be to infringe the claims.

2. Claims 4, 12, 16, and 18 contains the trademark/trade name "MK 25". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the

Page 3

page 1, lines 106-108

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a missile or missile canister and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

a) a deck,

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weeks et al..

Weeks et al. disclose an array of missile launchers comprising:

, ,	1 0 ,
b) at least one exhaust gas chimney,	14
c) a support structure,	1, 3, 4
d) a missile exhaust plenum,	13
e) attachment means for attaching to said missile	see fig. 1
canister,	
f) a door structure,	9, 10, 11
g) attachment means coupled to each of the missile	2
launchers of the array,	
h) a missile, and	5
i) a missile canister.	6

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 4-8, and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Larson et al..

Larson et al. disclose an array of missile launchers comprising:

a) a deck,	see fig. 6
b) at least one exhaust gas chimney,	17
c) a support structure,	14
d) a missile exhaust plenum,	28
e) attachment means for attaching to said missile	see fig. 4
canister,	
f) a door structure,	60
g) attachment means coupled to each of the missile	54, 56
launchers of the array,	
h) a missile, and	12
i) a missile canister.	18, 20

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Macnab et al., D'Ooge, Kamalian, and Wood et al. disclose other state of the art missile laucher assemblies.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,839

Art Unit: 3641

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Show both

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ May 13, 2002